UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,381	10/28/2003	Albert K. Chin	26448-08565	8269
758 FENWICK & V	7590 12/24/200 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL	LEY CENTER	SMITH, PHILIP ROBERT		
801 CALIFORI MOUNTAIN V	NIA STREET IEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/696,381	CHIN, ALBERT K.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	PHILIP R. SMITH	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
 3. ⊠ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or	orroopending number of finelly reig	acted alaima					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		cteu ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amondment (DTOL 324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (F 10L-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the proposed or amended the proposed or am		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subifiitted iii a separate, i	illiely filed afficildifier	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,7 and 14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-12</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Linda C Dvorak/	/Philip R Smith/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3739	Examiner, Art Unit 3739						
•							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Further search and consideration is required with respect to the "elongated handle attached near a proximal end of the outer sheath and displaced laterally substantially in said outward direction," newly recited in claim 14.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that "the structure of Gibson '789 is not noted to permit removal of the inner cannula from the outer expandable sheath (to provide an available instrument channel)." However, Horzewski does provide for an instrument channel. While Gibson does not permit removal of the inner cannula from the outer expandable sheath to provide an instrument channel, the structure imported from Gibson into Horzewski's invention does not prevent Horzewski's invention from operating in the recited manner.